

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/777,566	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ESTRADA, JAMES J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUZANNE LO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2128	

**All Participants:**

(1) SUZANNE LO.

(2) Brian Gustafson.

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2009

**Time:** 2:00 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:

1, 17, 35-49

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed the Examiner's amendment to add "using the computer" in method claims 1 and 17. Examiner's amendment will also add system claims 35-49 containing all limitations of claims 1-8, 10-16. Applicant clarified that color information refers to color values of pixels as described in page 7 of the specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

